AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Samuel Lorenzo-Fernandez Defendant	Case No. 1:15-cr-00161-JTN
	After conducting a detention hearing under lefendant be detained pending trial.	er the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	, ,	Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offen a federal offense a state or l existed – that is	se described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in which the prison term is 10 years	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for or more.
	an offense for which the maximum	m sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum	prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defe U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or cor	ndant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 mparable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of v	
		e of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon nder 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was or local offense.	s committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elaps offense described in finding (1).	ed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		uttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1)		the defendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term Controlled Substances Act (21 U under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pre	sumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions appearance and the safety of the community.
,		Alternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defenda	• •
(2)		nt will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		Statement of the Reasons for Detention
evidence 1. Defer 2. Defer	a preponderance of the evidence to andant waived his detention hearing, elected and its subject to an ICE detainer and v	ting not to contest detention at this time.
correction appeal.	The defendant is committed to the custod ns facility separate, to the extent practica The defendant must be afforded a reason	III – Directions Regarding Detention  ly of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a lible, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending hable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
	t to the United States marshal for a cour	
Date:	September 16, 2015	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge